17 DEC 69 13 532

S E C R E T 171335Z DEC 69 CITE

7631

25X1 25X1

EVALUATION OF IRIS II TEST ØØ1, FLOWN 22 NOVEMBER 1969 DISTRIBUTION A. BACKGROUND: AN IRIS II TEST WAS FLOWN ON 22 NOVEMBER 1969 EMPLOYING UNIT NO. 8011 WITH THE AUTOMATIC EXPOSURE CONTROL (AEC)CY OFFICE PI ACTIVATED. THE PURPOSE OF THIS TEST WAS TO DETERMINE THE EFFECTS FILE CABLE SEC. OF SOLAR ELEVATION, STABLIIZATION TIME AND LAND/WATER CONTRAST VARIATIONS ON IMAGE QUALITY AND EXPOSURE. lugal/no STOUR. **EVALUATION:** TSSG/APSD THE EXPOSURE RANGES FROM SEVERELY UNDEREXPOSED 3.4 **EXPOSURE:** IN THE BEGINNING OF THE MISSION TO SLIGHTLY UNDEREXPOSED AT THE PEG/CC END OF THE MISSION. THE EXPOSURE ON THE LAST TWO THIRDS OF THE MISSION (SOLAR ELEVATIONS 28 - 42 DEGREES), WHILE SLIGHTLY UNDER RRD REPRO EXPOSED, IS SUITABLE FOR EXPLOITATION PURPOSES. HOWEVER, SOME AID SHADOW DETAIL WAS LOST DUE TO THE UNDEREXPOSURE. THERE WAS NO IEG CHANGE IN EXPOSURE AS A RESULT OF THE LAND/WATER CONTRAST VARIATION IEG/OD IMAGE QUALITY VS STABILIZATION TIME: THE UNDEREXPOSURE THAT PREVAILED DURING THE FIRST 30 MINUTES OF THE FLIGHT PRECLUDES ANY DETERMINATION OF IMAGE DEGRADATION IN ASSOCIATION WITH STABLIZATION SCIEN WEST EAST HOWEVER, AFTER 30 MINUTES OF FLIGHT THE IMAGERY DOES NOT M&S APPEAR TO BE DEGRADED AS A RESULT OF INSUFFICIENT STABILIZATION IEG/PED TIME. THAT IS, EXCEPT FOR AN OBVIOUS EXPOSURE DIFFERENCE, THE IAS IMAGERY ACQUIRED APPROXIMATELY 30 MINUTES AFTER TAKE-OFF IS DIA-XX4 COMPARABLE TO IMAGERY ACQUIRED AT THE END OF THE MISSION (T/O FILUS SPAD 3 HOURS). PRINTING AND PROCESSING: PROCESSING OF THE ORIGINAL NEGATIONAL APPROXIMATION ⁻25X1 IS SATISFACTORY. THE ORIGINAL NEGATIVE WAS PROCESSED TO AN AVERAC GAMMA OF 1.65. THE DUPLICATE POSITIVE IMAGERY IS OF LOW CONTRAST

WHICH APPEARS TO BE THE RESULT OF THE UNDEREXPOSED ORIGINAL NEGATIVE. THE BORDER AREAS OF THE POSITIVE RANGE IN DENSITY FROM 0.66 TO THE AVERAGE DELTA DENSITY OF THE POSITIVES (16 SAMPLES) IS 0.91. ADVANCE CY Ø.55.

SANITIZED

WITH TEXT

CONCLUSIONS:

SECRET

- EXPOSURE: BASED ON THE DRASTIC CHANGE IN EXPOSURE DURING THE MISSION, IT APPEARS THAT THE AEC IS NOT FUNCTIONING PROPERLY: I.E. IF THE AEC WERE OPERATING SATISFACTORILY. THE EXPOSURE SHOULD BE UNIFORM THROUGHOUT THE MISSION. THE SENSOR IS EITHER NOT WORKING OR IS NOT PROPERLY CALIBRATED. AS A RESULT, CONCLUSIONS AS TO THE EFFECTS OF SOLAR ELEVATION AND LAND/WATER EXPOSURE VARIATION CANNOT BE MADE.
- IMAGE QUALITY: DUE TO THE SEVERE UNDEREXPOSURE AT THE BEGINNING OF THE MISSION, CONCLUSIONS AS TO THE AFFECTS OF STABILIZATION TIME ON IMAGE QUALITY COULD NOT BE ACCURATELY ESTAB-LISHED.
- PRINTING AND PROCESSING: ALTHOUGH THE CONTRAST OF THE POSITIVES IS QUITE LOW, THE INTERPRETERS EXPERIENCED NO PROBLEMS IN READING-OUT THE LAST PORTION OF THE MISSION (WHICH WAS THE CLOSEST TO BEING PROPERLY EXPOSED). HOWEVER, THEY DID COMMENT THAT DETAIL IN THE SHADOW AREAS WAS DIFFICULT TO DETECT. RECOMMENDATIONS:
- THE AEC SHOULD NOT BE EMPLOYED ON OPERATIONAL MISSIONS UNTIL THE PROBLEM AREAS HAVE BEEN DEFINED AND APPROPRIATE ACTION TAKEN.
- THE STABILIZATION TIME OF 2 HOURS SHOULD BE CONTINUED UNTIL FURTHER ANALYSIS CAN BE CONDUCTED ON MATERIAL THAT IS NOT AFFECTED BY EXPOSURE.
- NRTSC SHOULD PROCESS ALL FUTURE MISSION MATERIAL WITH THE RESULTS BEING EVALUATED TO DETERMINE IF A SUITABLE CHEMISTRY/ FILM COMBINATION (BOTH ORIGINAL AND DUPLICATE) WAS EMPLOYED.
- 4. THE PROPOSED OPERATIONAL "TEST" TO BE FLOWN AT A PENETRATION SOLAR ELEVATION OF 20 DEGREES SHOULD BE DELAYED UNTIL THE RESULTS OF THE NEW PROCESSING CHEMISTRY CAN BE EVALUATED FURTHER.

Excluded from Tax downgrading and ACIASA I LICA